

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIR	ST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,502	10/24/2000	•	Kazumi Kimura	35.C14889	1071	
5514 759	05/20/2004			EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL	FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			РНАМ, НАІ СНІ		
NEW YORK, N	Y 10112		*	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2861	*	
			* (X)	DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	K	Applicant(s)	1
Office Action Summary	09/694,502	U.	KIMURA, KAZUMI	GK.
omec Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
The MAIL INC DATE: 4.4	Hai C Pham		2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov r she	et with th	orrespondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX (6)	nay a reply be tim of thirty (30) days MONTHS from	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu	nication.
Status			·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	- action is non-final.			•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal :	mattare pro	cooution on to the	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	k narte Quavle 1935	CD 11 45	secution as to the me	nts is
1	r parte dudyle, 1900	C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15 and 16</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 and 15 is/are with		ation.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13 and 16</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement			
Application Papers	- oquilononi			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2000</u> is/are:	a) accepted or b)	Objected t	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	awing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	n is required if the draw	/ing(s) is obje	cted to. See 37 CFR 1.1	l21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attac	hed Office A	Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 H S (	2 8 110(a)	(d) a = (5)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	monty under 33 0.3.	J. 9 119(a)-	(a) or (t).	
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents	havo haan maad sad			
and the profits accounting	nave been received.			
	nave been received i	n Application	n No	
— produce depice of the priority	y documents have be	en received	in this National Stage	•
application from the International Bureau (	PCT Rule 17,2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies r	not received	•	•
Attachment(s)			•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	🗖	٠,	•	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Intervie	w Summary (P lo(s)/Mail Date	TO-413)	
3) Night Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/25/01, 06/05/02, \$ 07/31/02		of Informal Pate	ent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	n Summary		of Paper No./Mail Date 200	40526

Art Unit: 2861

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-8 and 15, drawn to a synchronous detection lens, classified in class 347, subclass 250.
  - II. Claims 9-13 and 16, drawn to registration detection of color images, classified in class 347, subclass 116.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the optical element for synchronous detection for directing the deflected beam to a sensor for determining the timing of the image writing in the main scanning direction as claimed in the base claim 1 of Group I is tailored to a single scanning optical apparatus for forming monochromatic image. The subcombination has separate utility such as the registration detecting means for detecting a positional deviation of a marking or markings produced on the transfer web to reduce an absolute registration deviation in the sub-scanning direction in

Application/Control Number: 09/694,502

Art Unit: 2861

Page 3

each of a plurality scanning optical apparatuses or the relative registration deviation in the sub-scanning direction among the colors such that the different developed color images are superposed with each other on the transfer web.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with Attorney Michael O'Neil on May 23, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 9-113 and 16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-8 and 15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Priority

7. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Art Unit: 2861

### **Drawings**

8. Figure 6 should be designated by a legend such as --PRIOR ART-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 9-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyagi et al. (U.S. 5,115,256) in view of Kato (U.S. 5,963,356).

Miyagi et al., an acknowledged prior art, discloses a color image forming apparatus for scanning a beam from at least one scanning optical apparatus (49C, 49M, 49Y and 49BK) on a corresponding one of a plurality of image bearing members (1C, 1M, 1Y and 1BK) to thereby form a color image, each of the scanning optical apparatuses comprising a light source (63C), a deflecting element (polygon mirror 62) for deflecting and scanning a beam emitted from said light source, a scanning optical element (scanning lens 65) for imaging said deflected beam into a spot shape on the scanned surfaces of said image bearing members, a sensor (BD sensor 8C) to take the timing of image writing beginning, and registration detecting means (sensors 22L and

Application/Control Number: 09/694,502

Art Unit: 2861

22R) for detecting the positional deviation of a marking (32C<sub>L</sub> and 32C<sub>R</sub>) of a predetermined shape formed on each of said image bearing members by each scanning optical apparatus is provided at a position corresponding to an image height separate from the optical axis of said scanning optical element.

However, Miyagi et al. fails to teach the optical element for synchronous detection for directing the deflected beam from said deflecting element to the BD sensor.

Kato discloses a scanning optical apparatus comprising a BD sensor (9) as a detection element for obtaining a synchronizing signal of image writing start position on the surface of the photosensitive drum, a BD lens (42) for directing the beam deflected from the polygon mirror (5) onto the BD sensor, and an auxiliary BD lens (92) for correcting any deviation of the BD beam in the sub-scanning direction.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a synchronous detection lens as taught by Kato in the device of Miyagi et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the deflected laser beam to be focused on and detected by the BD sensor.

Miyagi et al. further teaches:

With regard to claim 10, two registration sensors (22L and 22R) disposed so as
to be capable of detecting a plurality of image heights substantially symmetrical
with respect to the optical axis of said scanning optical element (a pair of left and
right registration marks 32C<sub>L</sub> and 32C<sub>R</sub> being detected by the respective
registration sensors),

Art Unit: 2861

With regard to claim 11, correcting means for reducing absolute registration
deviation in each scanning optical apparatus or registration deviation relative to
the scanning optical apparatus providing the reference, in conformity with the
output of said registration detecting means (col. 8, lines 38-63),

- with respect to claims 12-13, the correcting means including a top registration
  difference calculating section (21) for averaging the outputs of the two
  registration sensors (22L and 22R) such that the deviations in the top lines on the
  respective photosensitive drums scanned by the respective laser beams can be
  set to a minimum (col. 9, lines 31-62).
- 11. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyagi et al. in view of Kato, as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Maekawa (U.S. 5,889,594).

Miyagi et al., as modified by Kato, discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention including an image input for receiving the image signals from an external device based on which the respective laser beams are modulated, but fails to explicitly disclose the printer controller for converting code image data.

However, it is old and well known in the art that the device for converting the code data into image signal used to modulate the laser beam is part of the input interface of any printer, as evidenced by Maekawa, which discloses a printer controller unit (103) (Fig. 3) including an interface unit (306) for receiving an input data signal from

an external device and an image data generating unit (303) for converting the received input code data into image data for an actual printing (col. 3, line 58 to col. 4, line 17).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the printer controller in the modified device of Miyagi et al. since Maekawa teaches this to be known in the art to provide a printer controller including the input interface unit and the image data generating unit such that the external code data can be converted into a usable data for modulating the laser beam of the laser printer.

## **Contact information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Haich Phan

PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 26, 2004